

Provided for non-commercial research and education use.
Not for reproduction, distribution or commercial use.



This article appeared in a journal published by Elsevier. The attached copy is furnished to the author for internal non-commercial research and education use, including for instruction at the authors institution and sharing with colleagues.

Other uses, including reproduction and distribution, or selling or licensing copies, or posting to personal, institutional or third party websites are prohibited.

In most cases authors are permitted to post their version of the article (e.g. in Word or Tex form) to their personal website or institutional repository. Authors requiring further information regarding Elsevier's archiving and manuscript policies are encouraged to visit:

<http://www.elsevier.com/copyright>

Contents lists available at [SciVerse ScienceDirect](http://www.sciencedirect.com)

International Journal of Psychophysiology

journal homepage: www.elsevier.com/locate/ijpsycho

Predictive information processing is a fundamental learning mechanism present in early development: Evidence from infants

Laurel J. Trainor*

Department of Psychology, Neuroscience and Behaviour, McMaster University, 1280 Main Street West, Hamilton, Ontario, Canada L8S 4L8

ARTICLE INFO

Article history:

Received 19 December 2011

Accepted 22 December 2011

Available online 6 January 2012

Keywords:

Predictive information coding

Development

Infancy

MMN

ERAN

Cortical

Subcortical

Music

Language

Sensorimotor

Enculturation

ABSTRACT

Evidence is presented that predictive coding is fundamental to brain function and present in early infancy. Indeed, mismatch responses to unexpected auditory stimuli are among the earliest robust cortical event-related potential responses, and have been measured in young infants in response to many types of deviation, including in pitch, timing, and melodic pattern. Furthermore, mismatch responses change quickly with specific experience, suggesting that predictive coding reflects a powerful, early-developing learning mechanism.

© 2011 Elsevier B.V. All rights reserved.

The collection of articles for the special issue on *Predictive Information Coding in the Brain: Principles, Neural Mechanisms and Models* provides compelling evidence in favor of the view that the brain is fundamentally organized to make predictions about the future on the basis of incoming sensory information and long-term knowledge, to monitor the success of those predictions, and to adjust knowledge of the world accordingly in short- and long-term memory systems. Here I argue that predictive information coding is perhaps the most basic learning mechanism in the brain and that, as such, would be expected to be present very early in development. Furthermore, I argue that studying the development of different types of predictive information processing across development can inform the separability of different mechanisms for predictive coding. Yet none of the papers in this special issue address the issue of development. Specifically, I present evidence that predictive information coding is present in early infancy, and compare and contrast infant and adult responses when prediction is unsuccessful. Given limited space, I focus on the auditory system, and examples from work conducted by my research group. I comment in particular on the papers by Bendixen, SanMiguel & Schröger (2012-this issue),

Rohrmeier & Koelsch (2012-this issue), and Schwartz, Tavano, Schröger, & Kotz (2012-this issue).

Event-related potential (ERP) electrophysiological (EEG) responses to sound in very young infants bear little resemblance to those of adults. The ERP waveforms of young infants are dominated by frontally-positive slow waves that are not present in adult waveforms; and obligatory components in adult waveforms, such as N1 and P2, are difficult to discern in those of young infants (e.g., for reviews see Trainor, 2008, in press). As a result, there is considerable controversy concerning whether these slow positivities are early forms of P1 or P2, or whether they are unrelated to adult components. In this light it is especially interesting that the literature is consistent in reporting that when a sequence of sounds sets up a prediction for the next sound(s), young infants consistently show mismatch responses to a violation in expectation. Mismatch responses in young infants have been shown for pitch deviants (e.g., Čeponienė et al., 2002; He et al., 2007, 2009; Leppänen et al., 1997, 2004), timing deviants (Friederici et al., 2002; Trainor et al., 2001, 2003), deviants in melodies (Tew et al., 2009), and multiple deviant types present in one sequence of sounds (e.g., Sambeth et al., 2009). Furthermore, mismatch responses can change after only a few hours of exposure to a stimulus (Trainor et al., 2011), indicating that they reflect learning. Thus, predictive information processing is robust early in development. However, mismatch responses in very young infants tend to consist of frontally-positive slow waves, whereas those of older infants consist of faster

* Tel.: +1 905 525 9140x23007; fax: +1 905 529 6225.

E-mail address: ljt@mcmaster.ca.

negativities similar to the mismatch negativity (MMN) in adults (e.g., for reviews see Trainor, 2008, *in press*). There is evidence that the slow positivity and MMN represent different brain processes as there is a period during development when both responses are present (He et al., 2007). Thus, predictive information processing is present very early, but the particular mechanisms involved may change with development.

Predictive processing undoubtedly occurs in many parts of the brain and at different time scales. Sources of MMN activity are mainly located in secondary auditory cortex (e.g., Pincze et al., 2001). Bendixen et al. (2012-*this issue*) present some evidence that mismatch processes also occur in primary auditory cortex at a time earlier than the MMN. Indeed, mismatch processes to violations in expected sound location in adults have also been shown to modify middle latency components known to originate in primary auditory cortex (Sonnadara et al., 2006). It might be expected that such mismatch processes are present early in development, but to our knowledge, this has not yet been tested. At the other end of the scale, predictive processing can take place over long time periods, such as across repetitions of a melody (e.g., Fujioka et al., 2004; Trainor et al., 2002). Interestingly, 6-month-old infants also show evidence of long time-scale predictive processing in that they produce mismatch responses to unexpected changes in the last note of a repeating melody, even when the melody is played in transposition from repetition to repetition (Tew et al., 2009). However, the youngest age at which melodic prediction can be found remains unknown.

Rohrmeier & Koelsch (2012-*this issue*) point out that it is difficult to measure the brain's predictions for complex music in which there are different melodic lines occurring at the same time. However, initial progress on the processing of simultaneous melodies has been made (Fujioka et al., 2005, 2008). Fujioka et al. (2005) presented adults with two simultaneous melodies that repeated on each trial. 25% of trials had a deviant note in the higher melody and 25% had a deviant in the lower melody. Under these circumstances, adults showed MMN responses to both deviants, indicating processing of both melody lines. Furthermore, the MMN response to the higher melody was the same amplitude as when that melody was played alone, but the MMN response to the lower melody was smaller than when that melody was played alone. Thus, the adult brain is able to hold memory traces for more than one melody at a time, but the memory trace is more robust for the higher than the lower melody, consistent with music compositional practice. Of most interest here is that infants at least as young as 7 months can also form two simultaneous memory representations and, furthermore, show superior encoding of the higher melody (Marie and Trainor, *under revision*).

In this regard, it is also interesting that Bendixen et al. (2012-*this issue*) state that the visual system needs to deal frequently with occluded objects but that the auditory system rarely does. In fact, the auditory environment typically contains many sounding objects that overlap in time and therefore mask each other. Thus, one of the main functions of the auditory system is to parse the incoming spectrotemporal stream of information into a set of auditory objects that represent the sound sources in the environment, and to perceptually fill in masked information (Bregman, 1990). One of the cues for determining whether a set of frequency components are likely from the same sound source is whether they stand in harmonic relations (integer ratios) to the fundamental frequency. When the frequency components are in harmonic relations, they fuse perceptually and only one sound is perceived. Indeed, the perceived pitch of a tone remains the same even when the fundamental frequency is removed because the fundamental is implied by the other harmonics. Infants as young as 4 months of age are able to perceive the pitch of the missing fundamental (He and Trainor, 2009). When one harmonic in a complex tone is mistuned that harmonic is not integrated into the pitch of that tone, but is actually perceived as a second sound or auditory object. Interestingly, infants, like adults, expect harmonics to be in integer ratios and perceive mistuned

harmonics as separate sound sources (Folland et al., 2011, 2012). Again, it is noteworthy that such expectations are found very early in development.

Rohrmeier & Koelsch (2012-*this issue*) differentiate between expectancy violations reflected in the MMN and those reflected in the early right anterior negativity (ERAN). One difference is that MMN reflects more generic auditory processes whereas the ERAN reflects long-term learned knowledge of a particular language or musical system. For example, ERAN responses in Western listeners reflect expectations around the rules of Western tonality whereas MMN responses do not (Trainor and Zatorre, 2009). Provocatively, Rohrmeier & Koelsch state "... little, if barely any, musical competence involved in prediction is assumed to be innate in music cognition." Whether or not this statement is true of course depends on the definition of musical competence. However, certainly, young infants can encode rhythms and melodies, and even abstract meter and pitch interval constancy across transpositions (for reviews see Hannon and Trainor, 2007; Trainor and Corrigan, 2010), so in many ways infants are very musical (e.g., see Trehub, 2006). However, during the first months after birth, infants have not yet become enculturated to a particularly musical system, just as they have not yet learned the phonemic, syntactic and semantic structure of the language in their environment (e.g., Hannon and Trainor, 2007; Trainor and Corrigan, 2010). Sensitivity to culture-specific rhythms (Hannon and Trehub, 2005a,b) and culture-specific tonality (Gerry et al., *in press*; Trainor et al., *in press*) begins to emerge around the end of the first year after birth. In this regard, it is interesting that MMN-like mismatch processes are evident very early in development for system-independent musical processing, but we know of no evidence for ERAN-like predictive processing before 1 year of age.

Predictive timing for auditory events, as reviewed in Schwartz et al. (2012-*this issue*), is particularly interesting from a developmental point of view because, in addition to auditory areas, it clearly involves both cortical and subcortical areas of motor networks. These authors differentiate between (1) timing that involves the cerebellum (and possibly the cochlear nucleus), which is characterized as automatic and occurring over short time intervals (milliseconds), and (2) timing that involves the basal ganglia–thalamo–cortical loop (including the supplementary motor cortex), which engages attention and the analysis of longer time intervals. While there is, as yet, little data on infants, the prediction could be made that the cerebellar system develops earlier. Indeed, mismatch studies indicate that infants as young as 2 months can detect gaps of a few milliseconds in tone pips (Trainor et al., 2003). On the other hand, young infants are likely unable to engage attention, consistent with a prediction that the basal ganglia–thalamo–cortical circuits mature later. Examining this issue in infancy would be interesting with respect to the development of auditory time processing. But it would also be interesting in that if there are different trajectories for the development of cerebellar and basal ganglia–thalamo–cortical circuits, the developmental data could help to differentiate the characteristics and functions of these different circuits and examine how they interact in perception and action.

In summary, predictive information processing is present very early in development and represents a basic learning mechanism of the brain. In some respects, infants are rather sophisticated predictors, readily building up expectations for the pitch, duration, and timbre of upcoming sounds, as well as expectations for melodic and rhythmic sequences. Furthermore, infants can process more than one auditory object simultaneously. At the same time, it is not until around the end of the first year after birth that infants' musical processing becomes specialized for the rhythmic and tonal structure of the music in their environment. Thus, some types of predictive coding are present very early, whereas others are not present until later in development. Examining the developmental trajectories for different types of predictive processes not only informs us about developmental processes, but can also elucidate how these systems work in adults.

References

- Bendixen, A., SanMiguel, I., Schröger, E., 2012. Early Electrophysiological Indicators for Predictive Processing in Audition. *International Journal of Psychophysiology* 83, 120–131 (this issue).
- Bregman, A.S., 1990. *Auditory Scene Analysis: The Perceptual Organization of Sound*. MIT Press, Cambridge, MA.
- Čeponienė, R., Kushnarenko, E., Fellman, V., Renlund, M., Suominen, K., Nääätänen, R., 2002. Event-related potential features indexing central auditory discrimination by newborns. *Brain Research. Cognitive Brain Research* 13, 101–113.
- Folland, N., Butler, B., Smith, N.A., Trainor, L.J., 2011. Hearing two objects at once: infants show an object-related ERP response to two simultaneous sounds. Presented at Human Brain Mapping 2011, Québec, Canada (June).
- Folland, N., Butler, B.E., Smith, N.A., Trainor, L.J., 2012. Processing simultaneous auditory objects: infants' ability to detect mistuning in harmonic complexes. *Journal of the Acoustical Society of America* 131, 993–997.
- Friederici, A.D., Friedrich, M., Weber, C., 2002. Neural manifestation of cognitive and precognitive mismatch detection in early infancy. *NeuroReport* 13, 1251–1254.
- Fujioka, T., Trainor, L.J., Ross, B., Kakigi, R., Pantev, C., 2004. Musical training enhances automatic encoding of melodic contour and interval structure. *Journal of Cognitive Neuroscience* 16, 1010–1021.
- Fujioka, T., Trainor, L.J., Ross, B., Kakigi, R., Pantev, C., 2005. Automatic encoding of polyphonic melodies in musicians and non-musicians. *Journal of Cognitive Neuroscience* 17, 1578–1592.
- Fujioka, T., Trainor, L., Ross, B., 2008. Simultaneous pitches are encoded separately in auditory cortex: an MMNm study. *NeuroReport* 19, 361–366.
- Gerry, D.W., Unrau, A., Trainor, L.J., in press. Active music classes in infancy enhance musical, communicative and social development.
- Hannon, E.E., Trainor, L.J., 2007. Music acquisition: effects of enculturation and formal training on development. *Trends in Cognitive Sciences* 11, 466–472.
- Hannon, E.E., Trehub, S.E., 2005a. Metrical categories in infancy and adulthood. *Psychological Science* 16, 48–55.
- Hannon, E.E., Trehub, S.E., 2005b. Tuning in to musical rhythms: infants learn more readily than adults. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America* 102, 12639–12643.
- He, C., Trainor, L.J., 2009. Finding the pitch of the missing fundamental in infants. *The Journal of Neuroscience* 29, 7718–7722.
- He, C., Hotson, L., Trainor, L.J., 2007. Mismatch responses to pitch changes in early infancy. *Journal of Cognitive Neuroscience* 19, 878–892.
- He, C., Hotson, L., Trainor, L.J., 2009. Maturation of cortical mismatch responses to occasional pitch change in early infancy: effects of presentation rate and magnitude of change. *Neuropsychologia* 47, 218–229.
- Leppänen, P.H., Guttorm, T.K., Pihko, E., Takkinen, S., Lyytinen, H., 2004. Maturation effects on newborn ERPs measured in the mismatch negativity paradigm. *Experimental Neurology* 190, 91–101.
- Leppänen, P.H., Eklund, K.M., Lyytinen, H., 1997. Event-related brain potentials to change in rapidly presented acoustic stimuli in newborns. *Developmental Neuropsychology* 13, 175–204.
- Marie, C., Trainor, L.J. (under revision). Development of simultaneous pitch encoding: An MMN study with infants.
- Pincze, Z., Lakatos, P., Raikai, C., Ulbert, I., Karmos, G., 2001. Separation of mismatch negativity and the N1 wave in the auditory cortex of the cat: a topographic study. *Clinical Neurophysiology* 112, 778–784.
- Rohrmeier, M., Koelsch, S., 2012. Predictive information processing in music cognition. A critical review. *International Journal of Psychophysiology* 83, 164–175 (this issue).
- Sambeth, A., Pakarinen, S., Ruohio, K., Fellman, V., van Zuijen, T.L., Huotilainen, M., 2009. Change detection in newborns using a multiple deviant paradigm: a study using electroencephalography. *Clinical Neurophysiology* 120, 530–538.
- Schwartz, M., Tavano, A., Schröger, E., Kotz, S.A., 2012. Temporal aspects of prediction in audition: cortical and subcortical neural mechanisms. *International Journal of Psychophysiology* 83, 200–207 (this issue).
- Sonnadara, R.R., Alain, C., Trainor, L.J., 2006. Occasional changes in sound location enhance middle latency evoked responses. *Brain Research* 1076, 187–192.
- Tew, S., Fujioka, T., He, C., Trainor, L., 2009. Neural representation of transposed melody in infants at 6 months of age. *Annals of the New York Academy of Sciences* 1169, 287–290.
- Trainor, L.J., 2008. Event related potential measures in auditory developmental research. In: Schmidt, L., Segalowitz, S. (Eds.), *Developmental Psychophysiology: Theory, systems and methods*. Cambridge University Press, New York, pp. 69–102.
- Trainor, L.J., in press. Musical experience, plasticity and maturation: issues in measuring developmental change using EEG and MEG. *Annals of the New York Academy of Sciences*.
- Trainor, L.J., Corrigan, K.A., 2010. Music acquisition and effects of musical experience. In: Riess-Jones, M., Fay, R.R. (Eds.), *Springer Handbook of Auditory Research: Music Perception*. Springer, Heidelberg, pp. 89–128.
- Trainor, L.J., Zatorre, R., 2009. The neurobiological basis of musical expectations: from probabilities to emotional meaning. In: Hallen, S., Cross, I., Thaut, M. (Eds.), *Oxford Handbook of Music Psychology*. Oxford University Press, pp. 171–182.
- Trainor, L.J., Samuel, S.S., Galay, L., Hevenor, S.J., Desjardins, R.N., Sonnadara, R., 2001. Measuring temporal resolution in infants using mismatch negativity. *NeuroReport* 12, 2443–2448.
- Trainor, L.J., McDonald, K.L., Alain, C., 2002. Automatic and controlled processing of melodic contour and interval information measured by electrical brain activity. *Journal of Cognitive Neuroscience* 14, 430–442.
- Trainor, L.J., McFadden, M., Hodgson, L., Darragh, L., Barlow, J., Matsos, L., Sonnadara, R., 2003. Changes in auditory cortex and the development of mismatch negativity between 2 and 6 months of age. *International Journal of Psychophysiology* 51, 5–15.
- Trainor, L.J., Lee, K., Bosnyak, D.J., 2011. Cortical plasticity in 4-month-old infants: specific effects of experience with musical timbres. *Brain Topography* 24, 192–203.
- Trainor, L.J., Marie, C., Gerry, D.W., Whiskin, E., Unrau, A., in press. Becoming musically enculturated: effects of music classes for infants on brain and behavior. *Annals of the New York Academy of Sciences*.
- Trehub, S.E., 2006. Infants as musical connoisseurs. In: McPherson, G. (Ed.), *The Child as Musician*. Oxford University Press, Oxford, pp. 33–49.